UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,164	03/24/2005	Osamu Ito	SONYJP 3.3-344	4338

530 7590 11/26/2008

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

EXAMINER	
SCHWARTZ, DARREN B	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2435	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,164	ITO, OSAMU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DARREN SCHWARTZ	2435	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *14 October 2008*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-7 and 10-14* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-7 and 10-14* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 10-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The fact that the Examiner may not have specifically responded to any particular arguments made by Applicant and Applicant's Representative, should not be construed as indicating Examiner's agreement therewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 5 recite "for outputting same" and it is unclear as to what applicant regards as to "outputting same." It is still unclear if the Examiner assumes the position of "outputting the same."

Any claim not specifically addressed above is being rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of a claim upon which it depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirade, Junji et al (JP 02249333), hereinafter referred to as Hirade, in view of Schroeder (U.S. Pat 3784743 A), hereinafter referred to as Schroeder.

Re claim 1: Hirade teaches a data processing apparatus adapted for performing scramble processing of transmit data (page 3: lines 1-2 of section "Problems to be solved by the invention") the data processing apparatus comprising:

scramble operation processing means including plural stages of shift registers (page 4: lines 1-4 of section "Means to solve the problems"), and a cyclic operation processing circuit for performing a predetermined operation processing on the basis of a hold value of a predetermined stage of the shift registers and the transmit data to generate scramble-processed data (page 4: lines 6-9 and lines 12-13 of section "means to solve the problems");

data generating means for generating bit data of a predetermined pattern (page 4: line 4 of section "Means to solve the problems;" page 5, lines 1-3 of section "Operation"); and

switching means supplied with the scramble-processed data and the bit data of the predetermined pattern generated by the data generating means to select the bit data of the predetermined pattern at the time of synchronization processing of transmit data (page 6: lines 3-5 of section "Application examples;" page 8, lines 3-5), and to select the scramble-processed data when synchronization processing of transmit data is

not performed to output the data thus selected as scrambler output data (page 7, lines 1-7).

However, Schroeder teaches supplying the generated bit data of the predetermined pattern to one or more of the shift registers and for outputting same (Fig 1, elts: 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, A_T, 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Hirade with the teachings of Schroeder, for the purpose of providing longer pseudorandom sequences. Additionally, Schroeder teaches generation of a key stream using binary shift registers.

Re claim 2: The combination of Hirade and Schroeder teaches the data generating means is caused to be of the configuration to load the bit data of the predetermined pattern into the shift register at the time of synchronization processing of transmit data (Hirade: page 5: lines 8-11 of section "Operation;" page 6, lines 3-15).

Re claims 3 and 6: The combination of Hirade and Schroeder teaches the switching means is caused to be of the configuration in which in the case where a predetermined synchronization pattern data inserted into the transmit data for the purpose of taking synchronization of the transmit data is inserted in the transmit data, the switching means serves to select the bit data of the predetermined pattern to output the bit data thus selected as scrambler output data (page 5: lines 8-11 of section "Operation;" page 6, lines 3-15).

Re claims 4 and 7: The combination of Hirade and Schroeder teaches the data generating means is caused to be of the configuration to generate bit data of a

predetermined pattern to which predetermined information is assigned in advance (page 3-4, entire section: "problems to be solved by the invention").

Re claim 5: Hirade teaches a data processing apparatus adapted for performing scramble processing of transmit data (page 3: lines 1-2 of section "Problems to be solved by the invention"), the data processing apparatus comprising:

cyclic code generating means for generating cyclic bit data train of a predetermined period (page 4: lines 6-9 and lines 12-13 of section "means to solve the problems" and page 5: lines 1-3 of section "Operation"),

EXOR operation means for sequentially performing EXOR operation of the cyclic bit data train with respect to the transmit data to output scramble-processed data (page 6, line 10 through page 7, line 7);

data generating means for generating bit data of a predetermined pattern (page 4: line 4 of section "Means to solve the problems;" page 5, lines 1-3 of section "Operation"); and

switching means supplied with the scramble-processed data and bit data of a predetermined pattern generated by the data generating means to select the bit data of the predetermined pattern at the time of synchronization processing of transmit data (page 6: lines 3-5 of section "Application examples;" page 8, lines 3-5), and to select the scramble-processed data when synchronization processing of the transmit data is not performed to output the data thus selected as scrambler output data (page 7, lines 1-7).

However, Schroeder teaches supplying the generated bit data of the predetermined pattern to one or more of the cyclic code generation means and for outputting same (Fig 1, elts: 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, A_T, 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Hirade with the teachings of Schroeder, for the purpose of providing longer pseudorandom sequences. Additionally, Schroeder teaches generation of a key stream using binary shift registers.

5. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Padovani et al (U.S. Pat 5535239 A), hereinafter referred to as Padovani, in view of Schroeder (U.S. Pat 3784743 A), hereinafter referred to as Schroeder.

Re claim 10: Padovani teaches a data processing apparatus adapted for performing scramble processing of transmit data, the data processing apparatus comprising: a random number generating circuit to generate a random bit data train, said random number generating circuit having a first shift register, a second shift register, and a first adder, said random number generating circuit being arranged such that (i) an output stage of the first shift register is coupled to an input stage of the second shift register and to the first adder, and (ii) an output stage of the second shift register is coupled to the first adder (see Figure 3: associated elts of 62, 64 & 66);

a data generator to generate bit data of a predetermined pattern and to supply the generated bit data of the predetermined pattern therefrom;

a second adder arranged to receive an output of the first adder and the transmit data and being operable to generate scramble-processed data therefrom (see Figure 3: elt 66);

However, Padovani teaches a generating circuit to generate a bit data train, said generating circuit having a first shift register (see above). Padovani is silent to teaching: a random number generating circuit to generate a random bit data train, said random number generating circuit having a first shift register.

Schroeder teaches a random number generating circuit to generate a random bit data train, said random number generating circuit having a first shift register (Abstract; Fig 1, elts: 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, A_T, 33)., and a first switch arranged to receive the scramble-processed data and the bit data of the predetermined pattern, said first switch being operable to select the bit data of the predetermined pattern at the time of synchronization processing of the transmit data and to select the scramble-processed data when synchronization processing of the transmit data is not performed and to output the data selected (Abstract; Fig 1, elts: 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, A_T, 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Padovani with the teachings of Schroeder, for the purpose of providing a key signal using binary shift registers and synchronizing the data and key streams.

Re claim 11: The combination of Padovani and Schroeder teaches the data generator is further arranged to supply the generated bit data of the predetermined

pattern to the first shift register and the second shift register, and in which said random number generating circuit is further arranged such that the output of the first adder is supplied to an input stage of the first shift register (Schroeder: Fig 1, elts: 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, A_T, 33).

Re claim 12: The combination of Padovani and Schroeder teaches the first adder is a modulo 2 adder, and in which the first adder and the second adder are each operable to perform an exclusive-or operation (Schroeder: Figure 1, see at least elements 18, 20 & 22).

Re claim 13: The combination of Padovani and Schroeder teaches a second switch arranged to receive the output of the first adder and the bit data of the predetermined pattern and being operable to output a selected one of the output of the first adder and the bit data of the predetermined pattern to an input stage of the first shift register (Schroeder: Figure 1, see elements of "SHIFT REGISTERS").

Re claim 14: The combination of Padovani and Schroeder teaches the first adder is a modulo 2 adder, and in which the first adder and the second adder are each operable to perform an exclusive-or operation (Schroeder: Figure 1, see at least elements 18, 20 & 22).

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to

specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the text of the passage taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARREN SCHWARTZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571)272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2435
/Kimyen Vu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435